

REMARKS

This response is intended to be fully responsive to the Official Action mailed September 06, 2006 in the above-referenced application.

Claim 10 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayden III (US Patent Pub. No. 2004/0031375). These rejections are respectfully traversed in view of the foregoing amendments and the following comments.

Applicant has amended claim 10 clearly describe the structure shown in Figures 11 and 12 of the original application papers. Hayden III fails to teach or render obvious the structure of currently amended claim 10 or Figures 11 and 12 of the present application. No new matter has been entered.

In view of the foregoing amendments and arguments, it is respectfully submitted that the present claims are in condition for allowance. Should the examiners believe additional discussion would advance prosecution, they are invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew W. Stavish  
Registration No. 36,286

Berenato, White & Stavish  
6550 Rock Spring Drive  
Suite 240  
Bethesda, Maryland 20817  
(301) 896-0600